


Q7 Please rate your level of concern regarding the following potential impacts of allowing commercial agriculture in urban and residential zones.

Answered: 678 Skipped: 0



	Smell	Noise from equipment	Aesthetics/neighborhood character	Increased delivery traffic	On-site sales (bringing consumers to the site)	Bothersome hours of operation	Use of pesticides/fertilizers
Extremely concerned	41	57	47	51	63	63	163
Very concerned	39	57	43	46	43	56	105
Moderately concerned	74	108	84	110	95	107	129
Slightly concerned	131	155	98	132	121	133	102
Not at all concerned	389	299	404	338	354	319	177

Attachment D: Full Results from Urban Agriculture Survey 1

Urban/Limited Agriculture

SurveyMonkey

44	If allowed I would want to see a limit on types and quantities of animals allowed with in the City areas. I would also like to see some sort of an application process that would require the city or bourough to come and inspect the area of where the animals would be to ensure the proper containment/drainage requirements are met BEFORE the animals could be brought in. As far as the small scale commercial agriculture, I would like to see a limit in the neighborhoods. My biggest concern would be the drop in property value because of livestock and agriculture. I'm also not excited about all the extra traffic these could potentially bring because there are lots of small children that play street side especially within the city areas. Not all neighborhoods have speed bumps and frequent police patrols	6/26/2017 12:25 PM
45	I think having a few chickens or goats or extra vegetables to sell is fine. If someone's animals get out and get hurt, it's probably the owners fault for not having them secured. If people sell from their homes, hour should be limited to the sound hour regulations in the area.	6/26/2017 11:26 AM
46	Regulations should not add a burden to the FNSB or to the land owner	6/26/2017 11:18 AM
47	If people want to have livestock they should live in locations where there is ample room. It is absolutely necessary to protect the quality of life for people who want quiet peaceful homes. Not everyone lives a 9 - 5 lifestyle. Some people work nights in hospitals etc. It is unkind to set hours of operations assuming we all have the same schedule. Homes should be places of solace and safety not a battlefield of noise, smell, and traffic.	6/26/2017 10:54 AM
48	I am concerned that it is too difficult for beginning farmers to get into the agricultural industry. I see loosening regulations to allow small-scale productions as a way to support agriculture in Alaska.	6/26/2017 10:36 AM
49	Poeple and neighbors should work togather if something bothering the they should talk about the problem not go to other poeple and avoid the problem. Responsible poeple work with others.	6/26/2017 9:18 AM
50	There should be a minimum lot size of 10 acres, and a 500 foot buffer from other residential housing.	6/26/2017 9:06 AM
51	Our food supply lines are tenuous at best, see what happens when the weather keeps trucks or planes from getting to Fairbanks. The grocery store shelves really empty quickly. We should be doing everything we can to strengthen local food supplies, not to mention they would be of higher quality.	6/26/2017 8:08 AM
52	Small livestock has the potential to offer a symbiotic relationship to its entire neighborhood. Chickens are great pest control, bees pollinate our precious gardens, goats are superb weed control, sheep can mow a lawn that would rival a golf course, and even the waste products have uses. Chicken /goat/ sheep waste is better for gardens than compost bought at the store!	6/26/2017 8:02 AM
53	Live stick is not meant in all areas, especially residential areas. Many lots in the Fairbanks area are small, less than 7,000 square feet. It is not fair to put the wants of a few before the wants of most. The smell of livestock travels far as does the sound. Goats are annoying, and so are chickens in small spaces.	6/26/2017 7:26 AM
54	I support no or few regulations. But some questions were worded badly/ambiguously so I couldn't answer accurately. I worry (like woodburning) this will become a ridiculous political issue. This is ALASKA, don't try to make it Seattle, LA, or NY. But, I'd be against potential invasive species and truly dangerous animals (venomous snakes, bears, killer bees, etc...). Alaska should allow fish/shrimp/oyster/etc farming even on a commercial scale. The less food Alaska must import the better! The more it exports even better!	6/26/2017 7:15 AM
55	This is a gross waste of time and money. People can't even take care of their homes / property due to their irresponsibility. This ridiculous idea would just compound this problem. There are regulations that have been on the books for years governing homes/property upkeep that are never enforced, so it doesn't make sense to add more that won't be followed, and will in the process cause neighborhoods to decline even further. I'll say again - This is just ridiculous!	6/26/2017 6:28 AM
56	These people can MOVE to Delta Junction if they want to play like they're FARMERS!!!	6/26/2017 4:04 AM
57	Encouraging small scale livestock/agriculture is good for the community. Common sense regulations for small animal farms to maintain an appropriate size for the land area to encourage responsible stewardship is a good idea, but only apply the minimum regulatory rules to keep the operations appropriate for lot size.	6/26/2017 3:20 AM
58	Small animals should be allowed in the birch hill area.	6/25/2017 11:49 PM

